DOI: 10.1002/jpn3.12211

### ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Hepatology



# Role of high-volume plasmapheresis in the management of paediatric acute liver failure

Johannes Hilberath<sup>1</sup> I Vittoria Camelli<sup>1,2</sup> | Christiane Hofer<sup>3</sup> | Steffen Hartleif<sup>1</sup> | Silvio Nadalin<sup>4</sup> | Maren Peters<sup>4</sup> | Matthias Kumpf<sup>3</sup> | Andrea Bevot<sup>5</sup> | Matthias Zirngibl<sup>6</sup> | Marcus Weitz<sup>6</sup> | Ekkehard Sturm<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Paediatric Gastroenterology and Hepatology, University Children's Hospital Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany

<sup>2</sup>SSD Paediatric Gastroenterology, Ospedale Infantile Regina Margherita, Torino, Italy

<sup>3</sup>Paediatric Cardiology and Intensive Care, University Children's Hospital Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany

<sup>4</sup>General, Visceral and Transplant Surgery, University Hospital Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany

<sup>5</sup>Paediatric Neurology, University Children's Hospital Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany

<sup>6</sup>Paediatric Nephrology, University Children's Hospital Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany

#### Correspondence

Johannes Hilberath, Paediatric Gastroenterology and Hepatology, University Children's Hospital Tübingen, Hoppe-Seyler-Str. 1, 72076 Tübingen, Germany. Email: johannes.hilberath@med.unituebingen.de

Funding information None

#### Abstract

**Objectives:** Paediatric acute liver failure (PALF) is a life-threatening disease. Management aims to support hepatic regeneration or to bridge to liver transplantation. High-volume plasmapheresis (HVP) removes protein-bound substances, alleviates inflammation, and improves survival in adult acute liver failure. However, experience with HVP in PALF is limited. Aim of this study is to report on feasibility, safety, efficacy and outcomes of HVP in PALF.

**Methods:** Retrospective observational study in children with PALF. HVP was performed upon identification of negative prognostic indicators, in toxic aetiology or multiorgan failure (MOF). Exchanged volume with fresh-frozen plasma corresponded to 1.5–2.0 times the patient's estimated plasma volume. One daily cycle was performed until the patient met criteria for discontinuation, that is, liver regeneration, liver transplantation, or death.

**Results:** Twenty-two children with PALF (body weight 2.5–106 kg) received 1–7 HVP cycles. No bleeding or procedure-related mortality occurred. Alkalosis, hypothermia and reduction in platelets were observed. Haemolysis led to HVP termination in one infant. Seven children (32%) survived with their native livers, 13 patients (59%) underwent liver transplantation. Two infants died due to MOF. Overall survival was 86%. International normalization ratio (INR), alanine aminotransaminases (ALT), bilirubin and inotropic support were reduced significantly (p < 0.05) after the first HVP-cycle (median): INR 2.85 versus 1.5; ALT 1280 versus 434 U/L; bilirubin 12.7 versus 6.7 mg/dL; norepinephrine dosage 0.083 versus 0.009 µg/kg/min. Median soluble-interleukin-2-receptor dropped significantly following HVP (n = 7): 2407 versus 950 U/mL (p < 0.02).

**Conclusions:** HVP in PALF is feasible, safe, improves markers of liver failure and inflammation and is associated with lowering inotropic support. Prospective and controlled studies are required to confirm efficacy of HVP in PALF.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

© 2024 The Authors. Journal of Pediatric Gastroenterology and Nutrition published by Wiley Periodicals LLC on behalf of European Society for Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology, and Nutrition. Gastroenterology, Hepatology, and Nutrition. Gastroenterology, Hepatology, and Nutrition.

1

Abbreviations: ELS, extracorporeal liver support; HE, hepatic encephalopathy; HVP, high-volume plasmapheresis; IL-6, interleukin-6; MAS, macrophage activation syndrome; MOF, multiorgan failure; PALF, paediatric acute liver failure; RRT, renal replacement therapy; sIL2-R, soluble interleukin-2 receptor.







# 1 | INTRODUCTION

Paediatric acute liver failure (PALF) is a rare, lifethreatening disease of heterogeneous aetiology.<sup>1,2</sup> Disease progression is driven by the effects of liver necrosis and inflammation, vitally affecting hepatic and extrahepatic organ function which ultimately can lead to multiorgan failure (MOF) and death.<sup>3</sup> The chances of spontaneous recovery without liver transplantation are only 10%-40%.<sup>4</sup> Nontransplant treatment options are limited. Therapy aims at stabilizing vital organ functions, preventing complications and promoting hepatic regeneration.<sup>5</sup> Extracorporeal liver support (ELS) with high-volume plasmapheresis (HVP) offers the potential to remove hepatotoxic substances, pro-inflammatory cytokines, and damage-associated molecular patterns.<sup>6</sup> ELS systems with or without renal replacement therapy (RRT) can potentially mitigate coagulopathy, stabilize cardiovascular function, and serve as a bridge to liver transplantation or liver regeneration.<sup>7-10</sup> One prospective study in adults showed superiority of HVP versus standard treatment in improving the degree of inflammation and transplant-free survival.<sup>11</sup> However, current experience with HVP in children with acute liver failure is limited to small case series which is summarized in Table 1.7,12-22 A recent study demonstrated feasibility of HVP in 16 such children.14

The aim of this single-centre study is to analyse all HVP procedures in PALF between 2010 and 2023 with regard to feasibility, efficacy, safety, and outcome.

### What is Known

- Paediatric acute liver failure (PALF) is a rare disease with high mortality, and a low rate of spontaneous recovery.
- Disease progression in PALF is driven by the effects of liver necrosis and inflammation.
- Extracorporeal liver support with high-volume plasmapheresis (HVP) reduces hepatotoxic substances and pro-inflammatory cytokines, and showed improved survival in adults with acute liver failure.

### What is New

- HVP in PALF was feasible and safe. No procedure-related serious adverse event or mortality could be attributed to HVP therapy.
- HVP improved markers of liver failure and inflammation.
- HVP allowed reduction of inotropic support in children with PALF.

### 2 | METHODS

In children ages 0–17 years, PALF was diagnosed according to PALF Study Group criteria.<sup>1</sup> Clinical, biochemical, diagnostic, and management data were

2

IPG

						Plasma exchange			Outcome		
References	Country and time-frame	Study design	Number of patients	Age	Gender (F/M)	Dose/volume	Duration/frequency	Renal replacement therapy (parallel or sequential)	Death	Survival with native liver	Liver transplantation
Akcan Arikan et al., 2018 <sup>20</sup>	USA, 24 months	Retrospective, observa- tional, single- centre	n = 15 (study population), n = 5 (PALF), n = 10 (ACLF)	Median age 3 years	F = 4 (27%), M = 11 (73%)	1.3–1.5 times plasma volume exchange in tandem with CRRT, FFP replacement	Median of 4 (IQR, 1–8) PE and 6 (IQR, 4–7) MARS treatments	All patients received CRRT (100%)	4/15 (27%)	2/15 (13%)	9/15 (60%)
Atay et Demirkol, 2021≊	Turkey, 2015–2018	Retrospective, single-centre	N = 39 (study population), n = 6 (15.4%, PALF)	Median age 80 months (1–198)	F = 20 (51.3%), M = 19 (48.7%)	1 or 1.5 times the patient's plasma volume exchanged with FFP/alburnin according to underlying disease	Ω	2	4/6 (67%)	Q/6 (0%)	2/6 (33%)
Chien et al., 2019 <sup>19</sup>	Taiwan, 2003–2016	Retrospective, observa- tional, single- centre	n = 23 (study population), n = 18 (with PE), $n = 5$ (no PE)	Median age 1.39 years	F = 10 (43%), M = 13 (57%)	2-4 times the patient's estimated plasma volume	Daily for first 3 days, then every other day or every 3 days	° Z	10/23 (43%)	11/23 (48%)	2/23 (9%)
Gün et al., 2023 <sup>18</sup>	Turkey, 2016–2021	Retrospective, observa- tifonal, single- centre	n = 34 (study population), n = 24 (PALF), n = 10 (ACLF)	Median age 54 months (5-211)	F = 20 (59%), M = 14 (41%)	1.5 times plasma volume, FFP as preferred replacement fluid	Daily PE until LT or self-regeneration	13/34 (38.2%)	6/24 PALF (25%) 5/10 ACLF (50%)	14/24 PALF (58%)	4/24 PALF (17%)
lde et al., 2015 <sup>12</sup>	Japan, 2006–2011	Retrospective cohort study	n = 17 infants with PALF who underwent LT	Median age 6 months	F = 7 (41%), M = 10 (59%)	Plasma exchange and CVVHD in all infants	100 mL/kg of FFP once daily until recovery of coagulopathy	All infants received PE and CVVHDF (100%)	2/17 (12%) post- transplant	сё Ц	15/17 (88%)
Jørgensen et al., 2021 <sup>14</sup>	Denmark, 2012–2019	Retrospective, observa- tional, single- centre	л = 16	Median age 3.7 years	F = 5 (31%), M = 11 (69%)	Volume corresponding to 10% of body weight exchanged with FFP	Daily on 3 consecutive days, then re- evaluation	4/16 (25%)	6/16 (37.5%)	&16 (50%)	2/16 (12.5%)
Pawaria et al., 2021 <sup>15</sup>	India, 2014–2019	Prospective, nonrando- mised interventional study	N = 37 PALF due to Wilson's disease (study population),	Median age 9 years (5-15)	F = 14 (38%), M = 23 (62%)	>1.5 times plasma volume exchanged with FFP	One session on three consecutive days (maximum 3–6 sessions)	2	4/19 (21%) PE group after 2 sessions, 1 patient after 1 session	9/19 (47%) PE group vs. 3/18 (17%) SMT group with transplant-free	4/19 (21%) PE group vs. 5/18 (28%) received LT within 90 days

TABLE 1 Overview of studies reporting on plasma exchange in paediatric acute liver failure.

3

Country and References     Country and time-frame     Numbe       Redriguez     time-frame     study design     patienti n = n       Redriguez     USA, 30 months     Retrospective     n = 51 F (no       Redriguez     USA, 30 months     Retrospective     n = 61 (no       Redriguez     USA, 30 months     Retrospective     n = 70 (no       2017 <sup>13</sup> Confort study     por       Redriguez     USA, 30 months     Retrospective     n = 10 (no       Redriguez     2017 <sup>16</sup> 2002-2010     observational     ant       Redriguez     2011 <sup>16</sup> 2002-2010     observational     ant	mber of tients			Plasma exchance			Contraction of the		
n = n = n = n = n = n = n = n = n = n =		Age	Gender (F/M)	Dose/volume	Duration/frequency	Renal replacement therapy (parallel or sequential)	Death	Survival with native liver	Liver transplantation
Rodriguez     USA, 30 months     Retrospective     n=51 F       et al.,     observational     AC       2017 <sup>13</sup> cohort study     por       2017 <sup>14</sup> cohort study     n=       2011 <sup>16</sup> 2002-2010     observational     ant	<i>n</i> = 19 (with PE), <i>n</i> = 18 (no PE)							survival at 90 days	
Schaefer Germany, Retrospective, <i>n</i> =10 ( et al., 2002–2010 observational and 2011 <sup>16</sup>	51 PALF or ACLF (study population), <i>n</i> = 20 (with PE)	Median age 3.5 years	F = 36 (71%), M = 15 (29%)	Plasma exchange with FFP replacement and 1-1.5 times plasma		PE in tandem with CVVHDF	29/51 (57%) hospital mortality	S	26/51 (51%)
	.10 (PALF and ACLF)	Median age 9.7 (0.1–18)	SZ	MARS (standard adult thor <i>n</i> = 7 and MARS Mini for <i>n</i> = 3). Plasma exchange 1.5 titmes plasma volume	5 children with standard MARS underwent six PE/ HD sessions. 3 children with Mini- MARS underwent total of 4–11 PE/ HD sessions per patient	In 8/10 (80%) MARS altermated with combined PE and HD	5/10 (50%)	2/10 (20%)	3/10 (30%)
Singer et al., USA, 1987–2000 Retrospective, <i>n</i> = 49 ( 2001 <sup>7</sup> observational por case series <i>n</i> = (57 AC	49 (study population), <i>n</i> = 28 PALF (57%), <i>n</i> = 21 ACLF (43%)	Median age 2.9 years (10 day- s-18.4 years)	F = 29 (59%), M = 20 (41%)	Removed plasma volume 2.2 ± 0.6 times plasma volume; replacement fluid consisted of 74 ± 11% FFP	Daily until recovery, death or LT	۶	14/49 (28%) 15/ 49 (31%) died post- transplant transplant	3/49 (6%) native liver survival	17/49 (35%) post- transplant survival
Tufan USA, 2013–2016 Retrospective, <i>n</i> =63 ( Pekku- single-centre por cutsen <i>n</i> = et al., or , 2021 <sup>17</sup>	63 (study population), n = 20 PALF or ACLF	Median age 5 years	F = 33 (52%), M = 30 (48%)	Mean plasma exchanged: 1.34 ± 0.21. FFP as replacement fluid in patients with liver failure	Median number of sessions per patient was 5	All patients underwent tandem CVVHDF and PE	25/63 (40%)	S	S

collected from medical records and analysed (*t*-test, Mann–Whitney *U*-test).

All patients were managed according to a local protocol (Table S1) in the paediatric intensive care unit, including inotropic support to address hemodynamic instability. RRT was established in patients with significantly elevated plasma ammonia levels (i.e., 200  $\mu$ mol/L), renal insufficiency, electrolyte imbalance, fluid overload, metabolic disturbances, or high-grade hepatic encephalopathy (HE  $\geq$  3). Neuroprotective measures were provided throughout the course.

Aetiology-specific treatment of PALF was provided as soon as a diagnosis was made.

Each patient was evaluated by a multidisciplinary team comprising representatives of paediatric hepatology, transplant surgery, intensive care, nephrology, and neurology. The team made therapeutic management decisions including the time point to employ HVP and RRT and whether to proceed with liver transplantation.

### 2.1 | High-volume plasmapheresis

PALF patients meeting one or more of the following criteria were considered for HVP: haemodynamic instability; HE grades  $\geq$  3; severe hyperbilirubinemia (total bilirubin > 12 mg/dL); suspected aetiology of Wilson disease (WD) with the New Wilson Index  $\geq$  11<sup>23</sup>; and autoimmune/hyperinflammatory or drug-induced liver disease.

HVP was performed using Spectra Optia (Terumo BCT) based on centrifugal apheresis technique by a high-flow double lumen central venous catheter with body-weight-dependent size. Citrate was used for nonsystemic anticoagulation. The volume of centrifugation plasma exchange with fresh-frozen plasma corresponded to 1.5–2.0 times the estimated patient's plasma volume, up to a maximum of 6 L. Below a threshold of 0.9 mmol/L calcium was substituted intravenously.

HVP consisted of one daily cycle over 2–6 h. HVP was discontinued in case of suspected and noncorrectable complications including bleeding and haemolysis, metabolic alkalosis, electrolyte disorders, and hemodynamic instability. Otherwise, HVP was performed until the child improved or was liver-transplanted. If necessary, RRT was performed in between HVP cycles.

### 2.2 Statistics

This study was conducted as a retrospective, single-centre, observational study.

Descriptive statistical analysis was performed using IBM SPSS Statistics, version 28.0.

For each individual patient the liver injury unit (LIU) score and paediatric index of mortality score (PIM3) were calculated.<sup>24,25</sup> For comparison, we performed the repeated-measures *t*-test or Mann–Whitney U-test. *p* Values of <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

# 3 | RESULTS

### 3.1 | Patient characteristics

Between 2010 and 2023, of 76 children with acute liver failure treated at our centre, 22 children (29%; 12 male) with a mean age of 11 years and a mean body weight of 34 kg underwent HVP (Table 2). Four children were younger than 3 months. Body weight ranged from 2.5 to 106 kg (including three infants with body weight < 3.5 kg). In six cases (27%), aetiology could not be determined; one recent case was associated with a severe COVID-19 infection (Adenovirus negative) in a chronically ill child (Dravet syndrome).

Eight patients were affected by HE grade  $\geq$  III. Six of them were mechanically ventilated, needed inotropic support and underwent RRT while one patient was on the ventilator but did not require inotropic support. One patient was not on the ventilator and did not need inotropes; he recovered rapidly after the first HVP cycle.

Median number of applied HVP cycles was 2 (range 1–7) and 4 (range 1–7) for children with native liver survival. The median time from establishing a diagnosis of PALF to beginning HVP was 9.5 h (interquartile range [IQR]: 21.25 h).

# 3.2 | Outcome

The mean duration of follow-up was 50 months (range of follow-up 1–154 months). The overall survival rate in this cohort was 86%, three patients died. All 22 patients met criteria for liver transplantation eligibility, 20 children were granted the highly urgent status on the waiting list and two had contraindications. Seven children (32%) showed sustained regeneration, could be removed from waiting list and survived with native liver. 13 patients (59%) underwent liver transplantation after a median waiting period of 4 days.

Four newborns were included in our study. At time of HVP, their individual ages were 4, 17, 19 and 24 days old at time of diagnosis. The two youngest were too sick to be considered for liver transplantation and died due to MOF: only one full HVP cycle in each could be completed, however, this intervention was not able to permanently stabilize these two infants. The first cycle of HVP led to a reduction of vasoactive support in the 4-day-old patient with familial hemophagocytic



Patient characteristics.
2
щ
В
₹
- ·

Mechanical         LU         Criteria         Listed         HVP         Str         Ves $157$ $19.8$ $1.2$ , $3, 3$ $Yes$ $147$ $Str         1100 110 1100 $	<ol> <li>Patient characteristics.</li> <li>Body</li> </ol>	Patient characteristics. Body	Body												
Yes         Yes         157         19.8         1, 2, 3, 8         Yes         4         No           No         No         320         6.4         7, 8         Yes         1         1         No           Yes         No         139         6.0         1, 3, 4         Yes         4         No           Yes         Yes         200         6.2         6, 7         Yes         4         No           Yes         Yes         12.4         1, 2, 3, 8         Yes         Yes         4         No           Yes         Yes         203         12.4         1, 2, 3, 8         Yes         Yes         Ye           Yes         No         237         -         1, 3, 7         Yes         Ye         No           Yes         No         229         -         2, 3, 4, 8         Yes         4         No           Yes         No         27         -         2, 3, 4, 8         Yes         4         No           Yes         No         27         -         2, 3, 4, 8         Yes         4         No           Yes         Yes         1, 2, 3, 4, 8         Yes         4         No	weight HE grade on I Sex (kg) PALF aetiology admission s	weight HE grade on I ex (kg) PALF aetiology admission s	weight HE grade on Li (kg) PALF aetiology admission s	HE grade on It PALF aetiology admission s	HE grade on Il admission s	o	notropic upport	Mechanical ventilation	RRT	LIU score	PIM3 (%)	Criteria for HVP	Listed for LT	HVP cycles	Steroid treatment
Yes         Yes         157         19.8         1, 2, 3, 8         Yes         4         No           No         320         6.4         7, 8         Yes         1         1         No           Yes         No         139         6.0         1, 3, 4         Yes         4         No           Yes         Yes         200         6.2         6, 7         Yes         4         Ye           Yes         Yes         12.4         1, 2, 3, 8         Yes         4         No           Yes         Yes         12.4         1, 2, 3, 8         Yes         4         No           Yes         No         237         -         1, 3, 7         Yes         4         No           Yes         No         237         -         1, 3, 7         Yes         4         No           Yes         Yes         Yes         Yes         Yes         4         No           Yes         Yes         1, 2, 3, 4, 8         Yes         4         No           Yes         Yes         1, 2, 3, 4, 8         Yes         4         No           Yes         Yes         1, 2, 3, 4, 8         Yes         4 <td>ver survival</td> <td>urvival</td> <td>al</td> <td></td>	ver survival	urvival	al												
No         No         320         6.4         7,8         Yes         1         No           Yes         No         189         6.0         1,3,4         Yes         4         Ye           No         Yes         200         6.2         6,7         Yes         7         Ye           Yes         Yes         203         12.4         1,2,3,8         Yes         7         Ye           Yes         No         237         -         1,3,7         Yes         2         Ye           Yes         No         237         -         1,2,3,8         Yes         2         No           Yes         No         229         -         2,85         1,2,3,4         Yes         4         No           Yes         No         229         -         2,85         1,2,3,4         Yes         4         Ye           Yes         No         229         18.0         1,2,3,4         Yes         4         Ye           Yes         252         18.0         1,2,3,4         Yes         4         Ye           No         No         Yes         25,7,8         Yes         4         Ye	m M 10.9 Non A-E hepatitis III Yes	1 10.9 Non A-E hepatitis III Yes	10.9 Non A-E hepatitis III Yes	Non A-E hepatitis III Yes	II Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	157	19.8	1, 2, 3, 8	Yes	4	No
Yes         No         189         6.0         1, 3, 4         Yes         4         Ye           No         Yes         200         6.2         6.7         Yes         7         Ye           Yes         203         12.4         1, 2, 3, 8         Yes         2         Ye           Yes         203         12.4         1, 2, 3, 8         Yes         2         Ye           No         No         237         -         1, 3, 7         Yes         4         No           Yes         No         229         -         1, 3, 7         Yes         4         No           Yes         No         271         -         2, 8         1, 2, 3, 4, 8         Yes         4         No           Yes         No         271         -         3, 7         Yes         4         Ye           No         No         271         -         3, 7         Yes         4         Ye           No         No         Yes         1, 2, 3, 4, 8         Yes         4         Ye           No         No         Yes         1, 2, 3, 4, 8         Yes         4         Ye           No         Yes<	/ M 106 Indeterminate II No	1 106 Indeterminate II No	106 Indeterminate II No	Indeterminate II No	No	No		No	No	320	6.4	7, 8	Yes	-	No
No         Yes         200         6.2         6,7         Yes         7         Ye           Yes         Yes         203         12.4         1,2,3,8         Yes         2         Ye           Yes         No         237         -         1,3,7         Yes         2         Ye           No         237         -         1,3,7         Yes         2         Ye           No         229         -         2,85         1,2,3,4,8         Yes         2         No           Yes         No         271         -         3,7         Yes         4         No           Yes         No         271         -         3,7         Yes         4         No           Yes         Yes         15.1         5         7,8         4         No         No           No         No         28         16.1         5         Yes         4         No           No         No         Yes         1         Yes         4         No           No         No         Yes         1         Yes         4         No           No         Yes         15.3,4,8         Yes	/ F 47 Toxic (PEG- II Yes Asparaginase)	47 Toxic (PEG- II Yes Asparaginase)	47 Toxic (PEG- II Yes Asparaginase)	Toxic (PEG- II Yes Asparaginase)	Yes	Yes		Yes	No	189	6.0	1, 3, 4	Yes	4	Yes
Yes         Yes         12, 3, 8         Yes         2           Yes         No         237         -         1, 3, 7         Yes         4         No           No         237         -         1, 3, 7         Yes         4         No           No         237         -         2, 8         Yes         Yes         4         No           Yes         No         229         -         2, 8.5         1, 2, 3, 4, 8         Yes         7         No           Yes         No         271         -         3, 7         Yes         4         No           Yes         No         271         -         3, 7         Yes         4         No           No         No         271         -         3, 7         Yes         4         No           No         No         27         3, 7         Yes         4         No           No         No         1         5         7         8         4         No           No         Yes         1         Yes         4         No         No         No         No         No         No         No         No         No	/ F 60 MAS 0 No	60 MAS 0 No	50 MAS 0 No	MAS 0 No	No	No		No	Yes	200	6.2	6, 7	Yes	7	Yes
Yes         No         237         -         1, 3, 7         Yes         4         No           No         229         -         2, 8         Yes         Yes         29         -         0           Yes         Yes         359         28.5         1, 2, 3, 4, 8         Yes         1         No           Yes         No         271         -         3, 7         Yes         1         No           Yes         No         271         -         3, 7         Yes         4         No           Yes         Yes         16.1         5         Yes         4         No           No         Yes         455         6.2         5, 7, 8         Yes         4         No           No         Yes         455         6.0         1         Yes         4         No           No         Yes         545         6.1         1         Yes         4         No           No         Yes         1         3, 6, 7         Yes         4         No           No         Yes         545         6.4         1, 3, 6, 8         Yes         4         No           Yes	F 28 Infection- triggered MOF III Yes (Covid-19)	28 Infection- triggered MOF III Yes (Covid-19)	28 Infection- triggered MOF III Yes (Covid-19)	Infection- triggered MOF III Yes (Covid-19)	II Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	203	12.4	1, 2, 3, 8	Yes	N	Yes
No         No         229         -         2, 8         Yes         2         No           Yes         Yes         359         28.5         1, 2, 3, 4, 8         Yes         5         No           Yes         No         271         -         3, 7         Yes         1         No           Yes         No         271         -         3, 7         Yes         1         No           Yes         Yes         16.1         5         18.0         1, 2, 3, 4, 8         Yes         4         Ye           No         No         268         16.1         5         3, 7         Yes         4         Yo           No         Yes         455         6.0         1         Yes         4         No           No         Yes         5.9         6.0         1         Yes         4         No           No         Yes         5.9         6.0         1         Yes         4         No           No         Yes         5.4         1.         Yes         4         No           No         Yes         5.7         Yes         Yes         4         No <td< td=""><td>F 2.5 GALD I/II Yes</td><td>2.5 GALD I/II Yes</td><td>2.5 GALD I/II Yes</td><td>GALD I/II Yes</td><td>/II Yes</td><td>Yes</td><td></td><td>Yes</td><td>No</td><td>237</td><td></td><td>1, 3, 7</td><td>Yes</td><td>4</td><td>No</td></td<>	F 2.5 GALD I/II Yes	2.5 GALD I/II Yes	2.5 GALD I/II Yes	GALD I/II Yes	/II Yes	Yes		Yes	No	237		1, 3, 7	Yes	4	No
Yes       Yes       359       28.5       1, 2, 3, 4, 8       Yes       5       No         Yes       No       271       -       3, 7       Yes       1       No         Yes       Yes       252       18.0       1, 2, 3       Yes       1       No         Yes       Yes       Yes       1, 2, 3       Yes       1       No         No       No       268       16.1       5       Yes       4       Ye         No       Yes       455       6.2       5, 7, 8       Yes       4       No         No       Yes       455       6.0       1       Yes       1       Yes       1       No         No       Yes       545       6.0       1       Yes       4       No         Yes       Yes       5.3       6.7       Yes       4       No         Yes       Yes       5.3       6.7       Yes       1       No         Yes       Yes       1       1, 3, 6, 8       Yes       1       No         Yes       Yes       1       1, 2, 3, 7, 8       Yes       1       No         Yes       No	m M 13.4 Non A-E hepatitis III No	1 13.4 Non A-E hepatitis III No	13.4 Non A-E hepatitis III No	Non A-E hepatitis III No	II	No		No	No	229	ı	2, 8	Yes	N	No
Yes         Yes         359         28.5         1, 2, 3, 4, 8         Yes         5         No           Yes         No         271         -         3, 7         Yes         1         No           Yes         Yes         252         18.0         1, 2, 3         Yes         4         Ye           No         No         268         16.1         5         Yes         4         Ye           No         Yes         455         6.2         5, 7, 8         Yes         4         No           No         Yes         455         6.0         1         7         Yes         4         No           No         Yes         55.9         13.6         1         Yes         4         No           No         Yes         566         5.9         6, 7         Yes         4         No           No         Yes         76         1, 3, 6, 8         Yes         4         No           Yes         Yes         1, 3, 5, 8         Yes         1         No           Yes         Yes         1, 2, 3, 7, 8         Yes         1         No           Yes         No         2, 3, 7, 8 </td <td>after liver transplant</td> <td>r liver transplant</td> <td>r transplant</td> <td>nt</td> <td></td>	after liver transplant	r liver transplant	r transplant	nt											
Yes       No       271       -       3, 7       Yes       1       No         Yes       Yes       252       18.0       1, 2, 3       Yes       4       Ye         No       No       268       16.1       5       Yes       4       No         No       Yes       455       6.2       5, 7, 8       Yes       4       No         No       Yes       455       6.0       1       Yes       4       No         No       Yes       569       13.6       1       Yes       1       No         No       Yes       566       5.9       6.7       Yes       4       No         No       Yes       569       13.6       1       Yes       4       No         Yes       Yes       5.3       6.7       Yes       1       No         Yes       Yes       5.3       7.8       Yes       1       No         Yes       Yes       1       1,3,6,8       Yes       1       No         Yes       Yes       1       1,3,6,8       Yes       1       No         Yes       Yes       1       1,2,2,3,7,8	r F 60 Toxic (Acetaminophen) III/IV Yes	60 Toxic (Acetaminophen) III/IV Yes	50 Toxic (Acetaminophen) III/IV Yes	Toxic (Acetaminophen) III/IV Yes	II/IV Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	359	28.5	1, 2, 3, 4, 8	Yes	5	No
Yes       Yes       252       18.0       1, 2, 3       Yes       4       Ye         No       No       268       16.1       5       7, 8       4       No         No       Yes       455       6.2       5, 7, 8       Yes       4       No         No       Yes       455       6.0       1       Yes       2       No         No       Yes       569       13.6       1       Yes       1       No         No       Yes       569       13.6       1       Yes       6       No         No       Yes       569       13.6       1       Yes       4       Yes       No         Yes       Yes       76       7       Yes       1       No       No         Yes       Yes       7       5, 7       Yes       1       No         Yes       Yes       1       1, 3, 6, 8       Yes       1       No         Yes       Yes       2       1       Yes       1       No         Yes       Yes       2       1       Yes       1       No         Yes       Yes       Yes       Yes	M 8.6 Indeterminate 0 No	1 8.6 Indeterminate 0 No	8.6 Indeterminate 0 No	Indeterminate 0 No	No	No		Yes	No	271		3, 7	Yes	-	No
No         268         16.1         5         Yes         4         No           No         Yes         455         6.2         5,7,8         Yes         2         No           No         No         135         6.0         1         Yes         2         No           No         Yes         569         13.6         1         Yes         1         No           No         Yes         566         5.9         6.7         Yes         6         No           No         Yes         966         5.9         6,7         Yes         3         No           Yes         Yes         11,3,6,8         Yes         4         No           Yes         Yes         1,3,6,8         Yes         1         No           Yes         Yes         27         -         1,2,3,7         Yes         1         No           Yes         No         284         7.2         2,3,7,8         Yes         1         No	/ M 50 Indeterminate III Yes	I 50 Indeterminate III Yes	50 Indeterminate III Yes	Indeterminate III Yes	II Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	252	18.0	1, 2, 3	Yes	4	Yes
No       Yes       455       6.2       5, 7, 8       Yes       2       No         No       No       135       6.0       1       Yes       1       No         No       Yes       569       13.6       1       Yes       6       No         No       Yes       966       5.9       6, 7       Yes       6       No         Yes       Yes       545       6.4       1, 3, 6, 8       Yes       4       No         Yes       Yes       13.3, 6, 8       Yes       1       No       No       Yes       1       No         Yes       Yes       12, 2, 3, 7, 8       Yes       1       No       Yes       1       No         Yes       No       284       7.2       2, 3, 7, 8       Yes       1       No         Yes       No       284       7.2       2, 3, 7, 8       Yes       1       No         Yes       No       284       7.2       2, 3, 7, 8       Yes       1       No	/ F 68.2 Wilson's disease I No	68.2 Wilson's disease I No	58.2 Wilson's disease I No	Wilson's disease I No	No	No		No	No	268	16.1	5	Yes	4	No
No       135       6.0       1       Yes       1       No         No       Yes       569       13.6       1       Yes       6       No         No       Yes       569       13.6       1       Yes       6       No         No       Yes       966       5.9       6, 7       Yes       3       No         Yes       Yes       545       6.4       1, 3, 6, 8       Yes       4       No         No       No       183       -       5, 7       Yes       1       No         Yes       Yes       227       -       1, 2, 3, 7       Yes       1       No         Yes       No       284       7.2       2, 3, 7, 8       Yes       1       No         Yes       No       284       7.2       2, 3, 7, 8       Yes       1       No	/ M 74 Wilson's disease II No	I 74 Wilson's disease II No	74 Wilson's disease II No	Wilson's disease II No	No	No		No	Yes	455	6.2	5, 7, 8	Yes	N	No
No       Yes       569       13.6       1       Yes       6       No         No       Yes       966       5.9       6, 7       Yes       3       No         Yes       Yes       545       6.4       1, 3, 6, 8       Yes       4       No         No       No       183       -       5, 7       Yes       1       No         Yes       Yes       227       -       1, 2, 3, 7       Yes       1       No         Yes       No       284       7.2       2, 3, 7, 8       Yes       1       No         Yes       No       284       7.2       2, 3, 7, 8       Yes       1       No	/ M 32 VOD I Yes	1 32 VOD I Yes	32 VOD I Yes	VOD I Yes	Yes	Yes		No	No	135	6.0	-	Yes	-	No
No       Yes       966       5.9       6, 7       Yes       3       No         Yes       Yes       545       6.4       1, 3, 6, 8       Yes       4       No         No       No       183       -       5, 7       Yes       1       No         Yes       Yes       Yes       1, 2, 3, 7       Yes       1       No         Yes       No       284       7.2       2, 3, 7, 8       Yes       1       No         Yes       No       284       7.2       2, 3, 7, 8       Yes       1       No	F 3.6 GALD 0 Yes	3.6 GALD 0 Yes	3.6 GALD 0 Yes	GALD 0 Yes	) Yes	Yes		No	Yes	569	13.6	-	Yes	9	No
Yes     Yes     545     6.4     1, 3, 6, 8     Yes     4     No       No     No     183     -     5, 7     Yes     1     No       Yes     Yes     227     -     1, 2, 3, 7     Yes     1     No       Yes     No     284     7.2     2, 3, 7, 8     Yes     1     No	/ M 65 Autoimmune hepatitis II No	I 65 Autoimmune hepatitis II No	35 Autoimmune hepatitis II No	Autoimmune hepatitis II No	No	No		No	Yes	996	5.9	6, 7	Yes	ო	No
No No 183 - 5,7 Yes 1 No Yes Yes 227 - 1,2,3,7 Yes 1 No Yes No 284 7.2 2,3,7,8 Yes 1 No	/ M 66 Indeterminate II Yes	I 66 Indeterminate II Yes	36 Indeterminate II Yes	Indeterminate II Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	545	6.4	1, 3, 6, 8	Yes	4	No
Yes Yes 227 - 1, 2, 3, 7 Yes 1 No Yes No 284 7.2 2, 3, 7, 8 Yes 1 No	r F 31 Wilson's disease 0 No	31 Wilson's disease 0 No	31 Wilson's disease 0 No	Wilson's disease 0 No	No	No		No	No	183	ı	5, 7	Yes	-	No
Yes No 284 7.2 2, 3, 7, 8 Yes 1 No	M 29 Indeterminate IV Yes	I 29 Indeterminate IV Yes	29 Indeterminate IV Yes	Indeterminate IV Yes	V Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	227	ı	1, 2, 3, 7	Yes	-	No
	/ M 65 call III No	1 65 cALL III No	55 cALL III No	cALL III No	I	No		Yes	No	284	7.2	2, 3, 7, 8	Yes	-	No
Yes Yes 283 26.6 1, 2, 3 Yes 1 No	/ F 36 Indeterminate III Yes	36 Indeterminate III Yes	36 Indeterminate III Yes	Indeterminate III Yes	II Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	283	26.6	1, 2, 3	Yes	-	No

(Continued)
2
Щ
B
_

Steroid	s treatment	No	Yes
ЧЛР	cycles	<del>.</del>	3 <sup>1,a</sup>
Listed	for LT	No	No
Criteria	6) for HVP	1, 3, 7	1, 3, 6, 7
	PIM3 (%	38.6	29.3
LI	score	603	573
	RRT	Yes	Yes
Mechanical	ventilation	Yes	Yes
Inotropic	support	Yes	Yes
HE grade on	admission		ı
	PALF aetiology	Infectious (Parvovirus B19)	fHLH Type 2
Body weight	(kg)	3.15	3.72
	Sex	Σ	Σ
	Age	17 d	4 d
	#	21	22

mechanical ventilation; 4, toxic aetiology; 5, (suspected) Wilson's disease with New Wilson Index for predicting mortality > 11 points; 6, (suspected) autoimmune/hyperinflammatory disease; 7, Bilirubin > 12 mg/dL on admission; 8, INR ≥ 4 on admission. ю́ grad > 2 on admission; Vote: 1, Hemodynamic instability; 2, HE

liver injury unit score; LT, liver transplantation; m, month; PIM3, paediatric index of mortality score; VOD, veno-occlusive disease; y, years; -, data not available Abbreviations: cALL, common acute lymphocytic leukaemia; d, day; fHLH, familial hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis; INR, international normalization ratio; LIU, MAS, macrophage activation syndrome; MOF, multiorgan failure;

<sup>e1</sup> completed HVP cycle; 2 out of 3 cycles had to be sustained due to haemolysis.

JPGN

lymphohistiocytosis, however, the second and third plasmapheresis cycles had to be suspended due to haemolysis. The other infant showed a very rapid deterioration and decompensated due to sepsis. The two infants with GALD including the smallest patient in our cohort with 2.5 kg of body weight completed 1–4 HVP cycles and survived—one with its native liver. An 11-year-old girl died due to sepsis 4 weeks after a primarily successful liver transplantation.

We calculated the LIU score as a marker of severity of the liver injury. Overall, the median peak LIU score was 269 (IQR: 275) and 125 (IQR: 17) after the last HVP cycle. We found a significant difference between children who survived with their native liver and those children who died or were transplanted (203 [IQR: 48] versus 284 [IQR: 317], p = 0.026). Only one patient with a LIU score above 300 survived with native liver.

The calculated predicted death rate (PIM3 Score) was available for 17 patients (median 12.4% [IQR: 17]) and was higher in those patients who died (n = 3; median 29.3% [range, 26.6–38.6%]) versus those children who survived with their native liver or after transplantation (n = 14; median 6.8% [IQR: 10.4]), which is of statistically significance (p = 0.012).

# 3.3 | Inotropic support and concomitant therapy

13 out of 22 patients needed vasoactive support with norepinephrine. While four children developed vasopressor dependency during their disease course, nine children were on vasopressors pre-HVP. In those, median norepinephrine dosage could significantly be reduced after the first cycle of HVP. Only one of these patients (#16) transiently required higher norepinephrine doses than before first HVP. However, after the second cycle, norepinephrine could be stopped due to haemodynamic stability. Of the remaining four patients, for one (#18) no detailed dosage information is available, in two the norepinephrine dose remained unchanged (#1 and #21) and in one patient it was reduced by 56% (#5).

Thirteen patients underwent RRT for hyperammonaemia and/or renal insufficiency for a median of 5.5 days (IQR: 5.3). Five patients received steroids in hyperinflammatory conditions.

# 3.4 | Liver function parameters and inflammatory markers

International normalization ratio, alanine aminotransaminases, and bilirubin improved significantly following the first HVP cycle (see Table 3). 15364801, 0, Downloaded from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/pp3.12211 by Osu Central Accounts Payable, Wiley Online Library on [2904/2024]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/pp3.12211 by Osu Central Accounts Payable, Wiley Online Library on [2904/2024]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/pp3.12211 by Osu Central Accounts Payable, Wiley Online Library on [2904/2024]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/pp3.12211 by Osu Central Accounts Payable, Wiley Online Library on [2904/2024]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/pp3.12211 by Osu Central Accounts Payable, Wiley Online Library on [2904/2024]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/pp3.12211 by Osu Central Accounts Payable, Wiley Online Library on [2904/2024]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/pp3.12211 by Osu Central Accounts Payable, Wiley Online Library on [2904/2024]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/pp3.12211 by Osu Central Accounts Payable, Wiley Online Library on [2904/2024]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/pp3.12211 by Osu Central Accounts Payable, Wiley Online Library on [2904/2024]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/pp3.12211 by Osu Central Accounts Payable, Wiley Online Library on [2904/2024]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/pp3.12211 by Osu Central Accounts Payable, Wiley Online Library on [2904/2024]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/pp3.12211 by Osu Central Accounts Payable, Wiley Online Library on [2904/2024]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/pp3.12211 by Osu Central Accounts Payable, Wiley Online Library on [2904/2024]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinel



TABLE 3 Changes in biochemistry and norepinephrine dosage in children with acute liver failure following HVP.

	Pre HVI	P		Post	HVP		
	n	Median	IQR	n	Median	IQR	p Value
INR	22	2.85	1.9	21	1.5	0.4	<0.001
ALT (U/L]	22	1280	3792	21	434	734	<0.001
Bilirubin (mg/dL)	22	12.7	14.4	21	6.7	5.4	0.002
Ammonia (µmol/L)	21	82.0	96	19	82.0	58	0.433
CRP (mg/dL)	21	0.65	1.95	19	0.21	0.71	0.002
Platelets (n/µL)	22	111.500	158.500	21	86.000	97.000	0.004
IL-6 (ng/L)*	5	2892.3	58.715	5	19.5	644	0.138
sIL2-R (U/mL)*	7	2407	950	7	950	977	0.018
Norepinephrine dosage (µg/kg/min)	9	0.083	0.15	9	0.009	0.08	0.012

Note: Parameters were analysed and are shown after 1st HVP-cycle except "\*" indicating analysis after last cycle.

Abbreviations: ALT, alanine aminotransaminases; CRP, C-reactive protein; HVP, high-volume plasmapheresis; IL-6, interleukin-6; INR, international normalization ratio; IQR, interquartile range; sIL2-R, soluble interleukin-2 receptor.

However, ammonia (median pre-HVP 82 µmol/l) did not significantly change after one cycle of HVP.

C-reactive protein (CRP) was significantly reduced following the first HVP session (p < 0.002). Data for IL-6 and slLR-2 is available in five and seven cases, respectively (Table 3). A nonsignificant decrease in IL-6 levels were found in all but the deceased newborn patient (#22) diagnosed with HLH, a hereditary disorder of immune dysregulation, in which IL-6 increased despite HVP and steroid therapy. The other four survived with their native livers (#3, #5 and #7) or underwent liver transplantation (#16). Soluble ILR-2 levels dropped significantly after HVP. It is noteworthy that four of the children survived with their native liver (#1, #3, #4, #7), while two survived to transplant (#14 and #19).

# 3.5 Complications and adverse events

No HVP-related mortality or sepsis was observed. The use of citrate for regional anticoagulation was attributed to two cases of mild and correctable alkalosis (pH 7.5 and 7.52) and hypocalcaemia in two patients (ionized calcium 0.77 and 0.8 mmol/L). No citrate-related cardiovascular instability was observed. There were no cases of HVP discontinuation because of hemodynamic instability or electrolyte or acid–base disbalance. In one 4-day-old newborn, two out of three cycles had to be suspended due to haemolysis.

Transient hypothermia below the targeted core body temperature of 36°C occurred in four out of five infants. A significant reduction of platelet count was observed (p < 0.005). However, neither patient had a bleeding episode. Two patients without platelet drop did develop a bleeding episode that was not attributed to HVP: one case was an abdominal bleed following liver biopsy requiring transfusion, and the other a mild nosebleed. There were no instances of bleeding associated with placement of the central venous catheter for HVP.

# 4 | DISCUSSION

PALF is a rare and life-threatening disease of frequently indeterminate aetiology. No specific therapies are available to promote liver regeneration or bridge to transplantation. This is one of three studies of HVP in PALF reporting on cohorts of 20 or more children, and it is the first to report that HVP may reduce the need for inotropic support and to lower inflammatory activity.

Regarding outcome, no firm conclusions can be drawn due to the uncontrolled design of our study. Spontaneous recovery with native liver in PALF is reported to be only between 10% and 40% depending on age and aetiology and mortality remains high without liver transplantation.<sup>4</sup> However, we found an overall survival rate of 86% and a native liver survival of 32%. Importantly, from the 20 children that were granted the high urgency status for liver transplantation in our cohort, seven patients could be removed from the waiting list due to hepatic regeneration and no patient died on waitlist. In addition, from eight children with grade III-IV hepatic encephalopathy, seven survived (88%) in our cohort, three of them without transplantation (43%). This is in favourable contrast to both, a reported mortality rate of 55% in children with persistent grade III-IV HE and to a published spontaneous recovery rate of 22%-33% for grade III and IV HE.1,26

Plasmapheresis may suppress the systemic inflammatory response, thereby limiting the extent of MOF and potentially the need for liver transplantation. HVP trials in adults suffering from acute liver failure have shown anti-inflammatory effects and higher survival: Larsen et al. reported in 182 adult ALF patients, that HVP compared to standard medical treatment alone improved transplant-free survival and led to a reduction in pro-inflammatory cytokines.<sup>11</sup> Interestingly, we also observed reduced levels of a PALF-associated inflammatory marker, soluble interleukin-2 receptor.<sup>27</sup> in our cohort following HVP. In addition, we noted another potential beneficial effect: a significant reduction of inotropic support during HVP. We speculate that these potential mechanisms may contribute to clinical stabilization, supporting hepatic recovery or bridge to transplantation.

Although this may indicate a beneficial effect of HVP on outcome in PALF, the lack of comparative studies makes it unclear which approach or combination of ELS systems is most beneficial for children with PALF. Importantly, experience with renal replacement interventions in PALF have shown benefits. Deep et al. reported increased survival with early initiation of RRT in 45 patients with PALF: 58% of children survived, 27% with their native liver.<sup>9</sup> Although these results are lower than in our cohort, it is noteworthy that 13 out of 22 patients, including those three children that died, received RRT as part of standard medical care for treating hyperammonaemia, kidney injury, fluid overload and metabolic or electrolyte disturbances.

Recently the combination of RRT, plasma exchange and albumin-assisted dialyses has been reported in 15 children with acute or acute-on-chronic liver failure; two patients recovered and four died.<sup>20</sup> An ongoing trial in PALF using steroids to ameliorate the inflammatory cascade in selected patients may also contribute to improved control of inflammation in PALF.<sup>28</sup>

Following plasma exchange, the calculated LIU score improved. Interestingly, the LIU score is significantly different between children who survived with their native liver and those children who died or were transplanted, and only one patient with a peak LIU score above 300 survived with native liver. This is in line with the findings by Jørgensen et al., where seven of eight children who survived with their own liver had an LIU score below 300.<sup>14</sup> As expected, the predicted death rate (PIM3 score), which is calculated within 1 h after admission of intensive care unit and includes acute liver failure as one criterion, was significantly higher in those patients that died. However, the PIM3 score could not differentiate between native liver versus transplant survivors.

HVP was performed in four newborn patients with acute liver failure. While HVP was technically feasible

in all neonates with bodyweight between 2.5 and 3.6 kg. outcome for two infants which could only complete one HVP cycle each was not favourable with death due to MOF. Poor outcomes in infants with ALF are frequent.<sup>1</sup> In a study in PALF without plasmapheresis by Rajanayagam et al., age below 3 months and weight below 4.7 kg were significant predictors of death without transplantation and reflected by age-related vulnerability to decompensation and sepsis.<sup>29</sup> Importantly, we observed HVP-related haemolysis in the voungest infant, which did not occur in older children. It is noteworthy that the smallest neonate with ALF due to a refractory GALD survived following treatment with four HVP cycles. However, with four neonates in total, our cohort is too small to draw firm conclusions for this age group.

We found that HVP in PALF is safe and feasible even in infants with a body weight under 3 kg. No bleeding episode, sepsis, or death could be attributed to HVP. However, hypothermia and haemolysis, in particular in young infants, and a reduction in platelets, can occur when performing an extracorporeal treatment like HVP. Therefore, adequate monitoring is recommended.

Limitations of our study include the retrospective and uncontrolled approach. Because PALF is a rare disease, our analysis is limited by the small sample size. A further limitation is that we collected data over 14 years during which management of children with PALF evolved. Details on inflammatory markers were not available in all patients.

# 5 | CONCLUSION

In summary, our study on HVP treatment in children suffering from PALF shows that this approach is feasible even in young infants. Risks are low, but nonetheless, careful monitoring is required. In patients with indeterminate or inflammatory-type PALF, markers of liver failure, inflammatory activation and inotropic support may improve following one or more HVP cycles. We are confident that our data may stimulate multicentre controlled trials to study efficacy and safety of ELS systems including HVP in PALF.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

# CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

### ETHICS STATEMENT

The study was approved by the local institutional review board (676/2022BO2).

### ORCID

Johannes Hilberath <sup>(1)</sup> http://orcid.org/0009-0005-0055-7452

### REFERENCES

- 1. Squires RH, Shneider BL, Bucuvalas J, et al. Acute liver failure in children: the first 348 patients in the pediatric acute liver failure study group. *J Pediatr.* 2006;148:652-658.
- Narkewicz MR, Horslen S, Hardison RM, et al. A learning collaborative approach increases specificity of diagnosis of acute liver failure in pediatric patients. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2018;16:1801-1810.e3.
- Bernal W, Wendon J. Acute liver failure. N Engl J Med. 2013;369:2525-2534.
- Grazioli S, Deep A. High-volume plasmapheresis in children with acute liver failure: another brick in the wall in the current management? J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 2021;72:786-787.
- Squires JE, Alonso EM, Ibrahim SH, et al. North American Society for Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology, and Nutrition position paper on the diagnosis and management of pediatric acute liver failure. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr.* 2022;74:138-158.
- 6. Deep A, Nagakawa S, Tissieres P. Non-transplant options in paediatric acute liver failure-what is new? *Intens Care Med*. 2022;48:114-117.
- Singer AL, Olthoff KM, Kim H, Rand E, Zamir G, Shaked A. Role of plasmapheresis in the management of acute hepatic failure in children. *Ann Surg.* 2001;234:418-424.
- Stahl K, Hadem J, Schneider A, et al. Therapeutic plasma exchange in acute liver failure. *J Clin Apheresis*. 2019;34: 589-597.
- 9. Deep A, Stewart CE, Dhawan A, Douiri A. Effect of continuous renal replacement therapy on outcome in pediatric acute liver failure. *Crit Care Med*. 2016;44:1910-1919.
- 10. Davenport A. Continuous renal replacement therapy for liver disease. *Hemodialysis International*. 2003;7:348-352.
- 11. Larsen FS, Schmidt LE, Bernsmeier C, et al. High-volume plasma exchange in patients with acute liver failure: an open randomised controlled trial. *J Hepatol*. 2016;64:69-78.
- Ide K, Muguruma T, Shinohara M, et al. Continuous Veno-Venous hemodiafiltration and plasma exchange in infantile acute liver failure. *Pediatr Crit Care Med.* 2015;16:e268-e274.
- Rodriguez K, Srivaths PR, Tal L, et al. Regional citrate anticoagulation for continuous renal replacement therapy in pediatric patients with liver failure. *PLoS One*. 2017;12:e0182134.
- Jørgensen MH, Rasmussen A, Christensen VB, et al. Safety of high-volume plasmapheresis in children with acute liver failure. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr.* 2021;72:815-819.
- Pawaria A, Sood V, Lal BB, Khanna R, Bajpai M, Alam S. Ninety days transplant free survival with high volume plasma exchange in Wilson disease presenting as acute liver failure. *J Clin Apheresis*. 2021;36:109-117.
- Schaefer B, Schaefer F, Engelmann G, et al. Comparison of Molecular Adsorbents Recirculating System (MARS) dialysis with combined plasma exchange and haemodialysis in children with acute liver failure. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 2011;26: 3633-3639.
- 17. Tufan Pekkucuksen N, Sigler KE, Akcan Arikan A, Srivaths P. Tandem plasmapheresis and continuous kidney replacement

treatment in pediatric patients. *Pediatr Nephrol.* 2021;36: 1273-1278.

- Gun E, Durak A, Botan E, et al. Extracorporeal therapies in children with acute liver failure: a single-center experience. *Turk J Gastroenterol*. 2023;34:73-79.
- Chien M-M, Chang M-H, Chang K-C, et al. Prognostic parameters of pediatric acute liver failure and the role of plasma exchange. *Pediatr Neonatol.* 2019;60:389-395.
- Akcan Arikan A, Srivaths P, Himes RW, et al. Hybrid extracorporeal therapies as a bridge to pediatric liver transplantation. *Pediatr Crit Care Med*. 2018;19:e342-e349.
- 21. Jain V, Dhawan A. Extracorporeal liver support systems in paediatric liver failure. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr.* 2017;64: 855-863.
- 22. Atay G, Demirkol D. Therapeutic plasma exchange application in children requires individual decision. *J Pediatr Intens Care*. 2021;10:106-109.
- Dhawan A, Taylor RM, Cheeseman P, De Silva P, Katsiyiannakis L, Mieli-Vergani G. Wilson's disease in children: 37-year experience and revised King's score for liver transplantation. *Liver Transpl.* 2005;11:441-448.
- 24. Lu BR, Zhang S, Narkewicz MR, Belle SH, Squires RH, Sokol RJ. Evaluation of the liver injury unit scoring system to predict survival in a multinational study of pediatric acute liver failure. *J Pediatr.* 2013;162:1010-1016.e4.
- Straney L, Clements A, Parslow RC, et al. Paediatric index of mortality 3: an updated model for predicting mortality in pediatric intensive care\*. *Pediatr Crit Care Med.* 2013;14: 673-681.
- Ng VL, Li R, Loomes KM, et al. Outcomes of children with and without hepatic encephalopathy from the pediatric acute liver failure study group. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr.* 2016;63: 357-364.
- Bucuvalas J, Filipovich L, Yazigi N, et al. Immunophenotype predicts outcome in pediatric acute liver failure. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 2013;56:311-315.
- Chapin CA, Horslen SP, Squires JE, et al. Corticosteroid therapy for indeterminate pediatric acute liver failure and aplastic anemia with acute hepatitis. *J Pediatr.* 2019;208:23-29.
- Rajanayagam J, Coman D, Cartwright D, Lewindon PJ. Pediatric acute liver failure: etiology, outcomes, and the role of serial pediatric end-stage liver disease scores. *Pediatr Tranplant*. 2013;17:362-368.

### SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

**How to cite this article:** Hilberath J, Camelli V, Hofer C, et al. Role of high-volume plasmapheresis in the management of paediatric acute liver failure. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr.* 2024;1-10. doi:10.1002/jpn3.12211